


24-67  
8

In the 1<sup>st</sup> case we determine a thing  
be itself, in which case ~~that thing is~~  
said to be indeterminate<sup>(ed)</sup> or, in  
other words is said to determine  
itself. But this expression is bad  
inasmuch as it gives the idea  
of a thing being the "determi-  
ning" whereas it is we that  
determine. All determin-  
ation being done by a  
subject. Determination  
is the work of an intellect.

Being is determined as being + not-  
being because the principle of  
negativity is the centre of our  
intellect. From that arises the  
principle of contradiction, which only  
can be when a thing has a contrary.

In the 1<sup>st</sup> case we determine a thing be  
itself, in which case a that thing is said  
to be indeterminate<sup>(ed)</sup> or, in other words is  
said to determine itself. But this  
expression is bad, inasmuch as it gives the  
idea of a thing being "determining" whereas  
it is we that determine, all determination  
being done by a subject. Determination is  
the work of an intellect.

Being is determined as being and not-  
being because the principle of negativity is  
the centre of our intellect, from that does  
the principle of contradiction, which only  
can be when a thing has a contrary.



The only thing really free is the Absolute Will or universal will which, being undetermined, is thereby absolute or free. Only power can be free, because it is not act. All acts are determined and necessary. *Quidquid fit necessario fit.* (Schopenhauer?)

The internal mind. (Search's hypothesis).

---

## DIREITOS ASSOCIADOS

---

O trabalho MODERNISMO - Arquivo Virtual da Geração de Orpheu de <https://modernismo.pt/> está licenciado com uma Licença [Creative Commons - Atribuição-NãoComercial-CompartilhaIgual 4.0 Internacional](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/).